

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	1
10/773,818	02/06/2004	Teruhisa Shibahara	36856.1213	7932	•
54066 MURATA MA	7590 05/11/200 NUFACTURING CON	EXAMINER			
C/O KEATING	G & BENNETT, LLP	SUMMONS, BARBARA			
8180 GREENS SUITE 850	BORO DRIVE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
MCLEAN, VA	. 22102	2817			
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			05/11/2007	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

JKEATING@KBIPLAW.COM uspto@kbiplaw.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s) SHIBAHARA ET AL.		
10/773,818			
Examiner	Art Unit		
Barbara Summons	2817		

	Darbara Gurimons	2017					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appea	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED <u>01 May 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPL	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.					
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonme this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.3 a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the fitime periods:							
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (ng date of the final reject	on.					
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing d	of the fee. The appropr ginally set in the final Off	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as				
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	liance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be	filed within two month	ns of the date of				
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), t	o avoid dismissal of th					
3. X The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I			ecause				
(a) 🔯 They raise new issues that would require further co		TE below);					
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo							
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet	ter form for appeal by materially re	educing or simplifying	the issues for				
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally re	iected claims					
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1		jected claims.					
	,	ompliant Amendment	(DTOL_324)				
		ompliant Amendment	(F TOL-324).				
 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al 		timely filed amendme	ont canceling the				
non-allowable claim(s).	lowable ii subiliilleu iii a separate	, unlery med amending	ent canceling the				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ill be entered and an	explanation of				
Claim(s) allowed:	•						
Claim(s) objected to: <u>4,5,10 and 11</u> .							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-3,6-9 and 12</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	d sufficient reasons why the affida	vit or other evidence i	s necessary and				
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	vercome all rejections under appe	eal and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio	n of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attac	ned.				
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER							
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	t does NOT place the application	in condition for allowa	nce because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:							
	Paul Mus	Zumon	—				
	BARRADA	SUMMONS					
		EXAMINER					

Continuation of 3. NOTE: At least the newly presented feature of claim 1, i.e. "a ground wiring trace is disposed directly on the piezoelectric substrate...", requires further search and/or consideration. Additionally, it does not appear that either of claims 4 and 5 have been placed in condition for allowance because the portion of claim 1 amended on 11/21/06 (i.e. where wiring traces "are arranged in parallel, have") has not been embedded into claims 4 and 5 as amended on 5/1/07. Claims 4 and 5 were rejected in the first Office action on the merits mailed 8/21/06 as depending from claim 1 as "originally filed" (see the 5/1/07 amendment at page 7, lines 1-2), which is the form of claim 1 that has now been embedded into claims 4 and 5 in the 5/1/07 amendment. Therefore, it would appear that the rejections of claims 4 and 5 mailed 8/21/06 would still apply to claims 4 and 5 as they appear in the 5/1/07 amendment.